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Course Description

(Luke)-Acts is a pastoral narrative that documents the origin and advancement of the early church. Attention will be given not only to Luke the historian, but Luke the theologian and literary artist. Students will be encouraged to utilize these literary conventions for personal and vocational application. In recent years, exciting developments concerning Luke as a historian and theologian have produced exciting opportunities for fresh scholarship, particularly among Pentecostals.

COURSE OBJECTIVES

- At the end of the course students will:
  1. Assess the various purposes proposed for the penning of Acts.
  2. Articulate and evaluate the various structural and literary proposals of the Lukan story.
  3. Evaluate the history of interpretation and current trends of Lukan scholarship.

COURSE TEXTBOOKS

- BRING A BIBLE TO EVERY CLASS!!!
COURSE REQUIREMENTS

BEFORE THE COURSE
1. Reading and Analysis (5 pages)    Due – February 29 (First Day of Class)
   Though the course is officially a study of Acts, it is imperative that you gain a grasp of Luke-Acts as a two volume work. Imagine for a moment that Acts is the sequel or the Third Gospel is the prequel!
   • Read or listen to Luke-Acts. This should be done in one sitting before any other secondary readings. After the first reading of Luke-Acts reflect upon its purpose(s) and theme(s). How do the two volumes function as a whole? Write down any other observations/insights that come from this reading.
   • Read Acts (for the second time) and take note of plot development, characterization of major and minor figures, and flow of the narrative. Where is the narrative going? Begin to consider preliminary didactic purpose(s) that might be gleaned from the Lukan story?
   • This assignment is not meant to be technical but rather an entry into the primary literature. Don’t fret but enjoy. Grading will be gracious for completed work (I’ll get you on later assignments!). We want to be careful not to read around the text but make sure to dive in ourselves.
   • If the requirement to read in one sitting seems long, consider your ability to watch an entire hockey game or full length epic film for 3-4 hours. Give the story a chance to excite you! For the audio option, see www.biblegateway.com/resources/audio.
   • I would also suggest that at least one reading be from a translation that you do not normally use (do not use The Message).

2. Critical Review of Roger Stronstad (approximately 5 pages)   Due: February 29 (First day of class)
   • In my opinion, this is the most helpful handbook of a Pentecostal approach to Lukan pneumatology.
   • For a guide, see the back of the syllabus. Note: a critical review need not take into account every question on the guide. Instead, the guide serves as a sample of host of questions a reader might bring to the text.

DURING THE COURSE
• If you take a look at the course schedule below, you will see our plan to interact with various methods, theological models, and approaches for application of Luke’s story. We will test several of these in class. Upon completion of our week together, you should be able to tackle such questions and topics on your own.

AFTER THE COURSE
• Upon completion of the work above, you will demonstrate your abilities as a critic of Luke. You will demonstrate the ability to assess important theological questions and wrestle with their value for your personal spiritual development and the formation of God’s people.

3. History of Pentecostal Criticism      Due: March 25
   • Read Martin Mittelstadt's Reading Luke-Acts... This work will familiarize you with the development of Lukan studies in the Pentecostal tradition.
   • 4-5 pages
   • See the attached questionnaire.

4. Choice Review       Due: April 15
   • Write a 5 page review of a recent work on Lukan scholarship. Please make your decision in consultation with the professor. See the critical review guide below.
5. Research Paper  
   • Choose a passage or theme in (Luke)-Acts. The student will develop a thesis and demonstrate solid exegetical skills. Each paper should include thorough analysis of the role of the passage/theme in the Lukan narrative followed by application for contemporary Christian life. A resource and writing guide will be distributed early in the course.
   • Since this paper serves as your FINAL exam, you will demonstrate solid understanding and application of the skills learned in class.
   • It would be in your best interest to discuss your topic with the instructor before the end of our week together.
   • 14-16 pages, DS, one inch margins, footnotes, bibliography.

GRADING SCHEME

1. Reading and Analysis  5 pages  February 29  10 %
2. Stronstad Review  5 pages  February 29  20 %
3. Mittelstadt Review  5 pages  March 25  10 %
4. Choice Review  5 pages  April 15  20 %
5. Research Paper  14-16 pages  May 13  40 %

100 %

COURSE SCHEDULE / OUTLINE

• The following topics will be addressed and discussed in class. The schedule is flexible. Adjustments will be made based upon time and student interest.

Monday
   Syllabus + Introduction
   History of Interpretation

Tuesday
   Literary Criticism
   Journey Motif
   Landscape
   Hospitality

Wednesday
   Pneumatology
   Healing
   Social Justice

Thursday
   Persecution / Suffering
   Birth Narrative
   Passion / Resurrection
   Pauline Mission
   Women
   Poetry (Kilian McDonnell)

Friday
   Recent and Emerging Critical Methodologies
   Empire, Peace, Canonical, Post-Colonial
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The Critical Review

• In today’s world, so many books are written and published that one cannot hope to read everything, even in a specific field. In order to have an idea of what others are saying, I can read book reviews. They give the thrust of the work, an evaluation of its contents, and an assessment of its importance.
• In a university environment, critical book reviews force students to read carefully and write thoughtfully.

What is a critical Review?
• A critical review is not a destructive criticism of the author and his or her ideas, but a careful analysis of the work. A critical review attempts to answer at least seven different questions:
• What is the basic thrust of the author's work?
• Why does the author say what he or she says?
• To whom is the writing directed and for what purpose?
• For whom or what (or against whom or what) does the author stand?
• How well has the author met his or her own objectives?
• How does this work compare with other writings?
• What is the reviewer’s opinion of the work?

How do I write a critical review?
• Preparing a 4-5 page book review entails reading, taking notes, evaluating what has been read, and writing out a summary, assessment, and comments of the book or article.
• A book review should contain four main components:
  1. A complete title with bibliographical data. For example
     This information must be at the top of the first page. This is standard procedure.
  2. Relevant information regarding the author, his or her academic training, position, and other books authored. Information on the author may be obtained from the book itself, from biographical files many libraries keep, and from other sources. This information should be selective and integrated into the review. Do not open with a lengthy paragraph filled with the accomplishments of the author. Your goal is to establish credibility or lack thereof.
  3. A summary should synthesize the thrust of the book and its main arguments. Take care not to distort the emphasis given by the author. The length of the summary will depend, not only on the length of the material, but on the complexity of its contents. Try to keep the summary to no more than two-thirds of the review. A summary must precede the evaluation. The reader must first understand the content of the book before hearing the reviewer's critique.
  4. An evaluation of the book should be made first of all on the basis of the author’s own objectives, as stated in the introduction. This mandates a careful reading of the introduction or preface. A critique must document the author’s statements, giving the page where the item was found. Sometimes a review quotes paragraphs that show the author’s position. Be sure to keep in mind the author’s content in order not to distort the ideas. Although one may disagree with the author and with the position he or she espouses, the language of a book or article review must be courteous. A well-documented analysis will be more convincing than a heated, emotional tirade. The language of a review written for a class assignment should be similar to that of research—cool, calm, and collected. Think of meeting the author of the book at a professional meeting and having him say in dismay, “So are you the reviewer who hit me so hard?”
• For formatting and content samples see the following journals on reserve in the library: *Pneuma, Journal of Pentecostal Theology*.

Questions to guide evaluation:
• Is the subject vital? If so, to whom? What difference will it make ten years from now?
• Is the subject too broad or too broad for the author? Is it too shallow, too restricted?
• Is the author straightforward? Does he announce his bias? His limitations? His intentions? Every writer leaks bias for good or for ill!
• In the introduction, are the purpose of the study and the statement of the problem clear?
• Is the presentation clear and logical? Is the sequence natural? Are there missing points? Are the sections clear and self-contained?
• Is the research reliable? Does anything indicate you cannot fully trust this paper? Do you feel the author really looked everywhere she possibly could and reported accurately and without bias?
• What does the use of footnotes show? Are there too many notes? Too few? Are they from old sources? All from similar sources? Or from the same sources? Is the form clear and consistently used?
• Does the bibliography tell you something additional about the paper? Can you tell whether the author is using primary sources? General sources? Or authorities in the field?
• Is the language clear, concise, or wordy and unnecessarily difficult? Does the choice of words show carelessness? Conceit?
• Does the paper show a sincere desire to search out truth or is it a defense of a position?
• Usually the last item in an evaluation includes assessment of what group(s) will profit from reading this book. You may also want to suggest how useful the book will be for this certain group.

Further Tips for a critical review:
• A title page is not necessary.
• Insert page numbers. If you do use a title page (and it is not necessary), start pagination with the first page of your text – not the title page.
• Put your name, course, and date in the top right hand corner of page. Single space in the header (see my header).
• Do not leave spaces between paragraphs.
• Be sure to indent new paragraphs – hit enter (one time) and the tab button.
• Do not use a footnote when citing or quoting the author of the work. Put the page number at the end of the sentence in brackets with the punctuation to follow. For example, “Jesus and Paul share the same view” (33).
• Every quotation or reference to another work requires citation!!
  o Reviews typically require FEW secondary references.
• All direct quotations longer than two lines must be single spaced. The margins must also be reduced by one tab on the left and right sides.
• For a short review, be careful to limit the number of quotations – shorten lengthy quotes by citing only what is crucial. Try to catch the sense of the writer and put her thoughts in your own words.
• The first time you use the name of any person give the full name. Every subsequent reference should refer to the last name only.
  o Thus Luke Timothy Johnson…. Then Johnson… Not Luke, Dr., Mr., Mister, Mrs., Johnson!
  o Refer to the author by name. Refrain from statements like… “the author/writer states:” The writer has a name. Use it.
o Refer to the author by name not the book. “What Saint Paul Really Said emphasizes…” is not legit. The book is not personal. The book does not communicate. Use the name of the writer – she is the communicator. “Jackson emphasizes…”

• The title of a work must be in italics. A chapter must be in double “quotation marks.”
  o All foreign terms must be in italics - Pax Romana, imago Dei.

• Don’t use contractions.
• Do not use numbers – “21st century” = twenty first century.
• Be sure your spell check is on. Take note of the red squiggly lines (lines).
  o Please take note of the spelling of proper names. Thus the name of the instructor or author conveys attention (or lack thereof) to detail. I’ve seen more than I care to share.
    ▪ Mittlestat, Middlestat, Middlemann, Martinstat. Mitteldale, etc. OUCH. First impressions are crucial – imagine sending this through your office or as a resume.
• Avoid superlatives unless absolutely necessary. “Everyone will agree that this book is the best on the subject…” This cannot be quantified.

Concerning your commentary:
• The introduction should grab the attention of the reader. Provide the reader with a statement or two concerning the big idea of the work. Think of the magnitude of the work – pioneering, controversial, summarizing, etc.
  o Don’t just jump into chapter one.
• The conclusion should summarize again the big idea of the book and your primary response.

Before submitting your final paper:
• Read your paper out loud. Ask a friend to read it to you – preferably twice – for grammar and structure, then content.
• Imagine giving the paper to your boss.
• No need for binders, folders, etc. Simply staple your paper!

See further Nancy Jean Vyhmeister, Quality Research Papers (Zondervan, 2001).

Why write?
• Values/Personal Interests (choosing your topic; i.e., asking, “What’s important to me?”)
• Focus/Scope/Conciseness (narrowing of ideas)
• Dependence on God (Yes! Why not?)
• Resourcefulness (finding appropriate sources)
• Organization (putting your thoughts together in a way that can be clearly presented)
• Honor (acknowledging those who have gone before, i.e., the academic efforts of others)
• Integration (How does this paper relate to life?)
• Creativity (making the most of the opportunity to express yourself)
• Resolve (figuring out what you believe on the issue, why, and being bold enough to express it)
• Responsibility & Time Management (meeting process deadlines, making your Write Place appt.)
• Clarity and Coherence (learning to write well)
• Accountability (avoiding plagiarism)
• Thoroughness (making sure you’ve covered the main elements of the topic)
• Format requirements (i.e., Turabian vs. APA) – may make it easier next time!
• Attention to detail (meeting the requirements of responsibility)
• **Computer Skills** (ah, those footnotes!)
• **Fruit of the Spirit** (patience in particular; also peacefulness, faithfulness, etc.!) 
• **Community** (realizing the benefit of a good editor) & (learning the librarian’s name!)
• **Punctuality** (making the due date)
• **Achievement** (sense of a job well done)
• **Improvement** (taking professor comments on the paper and learning from them)
• **Insight** (you become more of an “expert” on the topic than you were before!)
• **Community** (publishable material can become part of the greater academic community)

I am indebted to my colleague Lois Olena for this list!!

• This is not a traditional review. Instead, work through the following questions – number by number. Write approximately a one page response per question. Locate references in parentheses, for example (21).

1. What is the underlying purpose of this work? Stated simply, what leads Mittelstadt to sit down and write this volume?

2. Give a thumbnail sketch of the major issues to guide and inform Pentecostal reading of Luke-Acts during what Mittelstadt calls “the pre-critical era” (Stage 1).

3. What factors give rise to the emergence of Pentecostal scholars in the academic marketplace?

4. Of the issues to emerge in Stage 3, which single issue strikes you as most significant in your reading and experience of Luke-Acts? You may think in terms of what angle has been most emphasized in your church(es)? If you are not in a Pentecostal environment, what category do you believe your tradition most emphasizes?

5. In stage 4, Mittelstadt discusses new areas of research among Pentecostal scholars. Whether you are Pentecostal or otherwise, discuss the single issue you think carries the most weight in your personal and life? And what issue requires the most attention in the church at large? Why and Why?

6. Of the future possibilities (final section), what topic would you suggest needs immediate attention in today’s church? Why?

7. Mittelstadt provides summaries of a vast assortment of Pentecostal scholarship. In light of his survey, read 200 pages of secondary literature? Give full bibliographic and page parameters for your reading. Explain your choice and how (or if) these works proved valuable?

8. Optional - Final thoughts! Share any final reflections, questions, or concerns to emerge from this work.